

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/19/2005

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,257 11/14/2003		11/14/2003	Lothar Zipfel	037110.52632US	8056	
23911	7590	09/19/2005		EXAMINER		
CROWELL		RING LLP OPERTY GROUP	COONEY, JOHN M			
P.O. BOX 14		OPERTT GROUP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DO	20044-4300		1711		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/712,257	ZIPFEL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
John m. Cooney	1711	

Advisory Action	10/712,257	ZIPFEL ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John m. Cooney	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	orrespondence add	lress			
THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF		•				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Almondo Allenda e manera descri	ant annualization tha			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	iowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) abjusted the claim (s).		II be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		-				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				
		John m Cooney Primary Examine Art Unit: 1711	7			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the rejections, as set forth, are maintained to be properly set forth and no demonstration of new or unexpected results commensurate in scope with the scope of the claims is set forth or seen.